

ASYMPTOTIC FOR THE PERTURBED HEAVY BALL SYSTEM WITH VANISHING DAMPING TERM

Mounir BALTI^{1,2} & Ramzi MAY³

¹Institut Préparatoire aux Etude Scientifiques et Techniques

Université de Carthage

Bp 51 La Marsa

Tunisia

²Faculté des Sciences de Tunis

Université de Tunis Al Manar Tunis

Laboratoire EDP-LR03ES04

Tunisia

E-mail: mounir.balti@gmail.com

³ Ramzi May

College of Sciences

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

King Faisal University

P.O. 400 Al Ahsaa 31982, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

E-mail: rmay@kfu.edu.sa

Abstract: We investigate the long time behavior of solutions to the differential equation:

$$(0.1) \quad \ddot{x}(t) + \frac{c}{(t+1)^\alpha} \dot{x}(t) + \nabla \Phi(x(t)) = g(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where c is nonnegative constant, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, Φ is a C^1 convex function on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $g \in L^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$. We obtain sufficient conditions on the source term $g(t)$ ensuring the weak or the strong convergence of any trajectory $x(t)$ of (0.1) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ to a minimizer of the function Φ if one exists.

keywords: Differential equation, asymptotically small dissipation, asymptotic behavior, energy function, convex function.

AMS classification numbers: 34G20, 35B40, 35L71, 34D05.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product and norm respectively denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$. In this paper, we consider the following second order equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \ddot{x}(t) + \gamma(t) \dot{x}(t) + \nabla \Phi(x(t)) = g(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\gamma(t) = \frac{c}{(1+t)^\alpha}$ with $c > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1[$, $g \in L^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 convex function such that its minimizers subset

$$\arg \min \Phi := \{v \in \mathcal{H} : \Phi(v) = \Phi^* M = \min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \Phi(x)\}$$

is not empty.

Using classical arguments (see for instance [7]), one can easily prove that if the function $\nabla \Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is Lipschitz on bounded subset of $\mathcal{H}$, then for any initial data $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$, the equation (1.1) has a unique global solution $x \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ satisfying $(x(0), \dot{x}(0)) = (x_0, x_1)$. Moreover, the associated energy function

$$(1.2) \quad W(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*$$

is nonincreasing and converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence hereafter, we will assume that $x \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ is a solution to (1.1) and we will focus our attention on the study of the asymptotic behavior of $x(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and on the rate of convergence of the energy function W .

Before setting the main results of our present paper, let us first recall some previous results: In the pioneer paper [1], Alvarez considered the case where $\alpha = 0$ and $g = 0$. He proved that $x(t)$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ to a minimizer of the function Φ . Moreover, he showed that the convergence is strong if either the function Φ is even or the interior of the set $\arg \min \Phi$ is not empty. In [6], Haraux and Jendoubi extended the weak convergence result of Alvarez to the case where the source term g belongs to the space $L^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$. Recently, Cabot and Frankel [5] studied (1.1) where $g = 0$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1[$. They proved that every bounded solution $x(t)$ (i.e. $x \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$) converges weakly toward a critical point of Φ . In a very recent work [8], the second author of this paper improved the result of Cabot and Frankel by getting rid of the superfluous hypothesis on the boundedness of the solution. Moreover he established that $W(t) = o(\frac{1}{t^{2\bar{\alpha}}})$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for every $\bar{\alpha} < \alpha$. In [7], Jendoubi and May proved that the main convergence result of Cabot and Frankel remains true if the source term g satisfies the condition $\int_0^{+\infty} (1+t) \|g(t)\| dt < \infty$. Recently, this result was improved in [4]. In fact, we proved

that if the solution $x(t)$ is bounded and the function g satisfies the optimal condition

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (1+t)^\alpha \|g(t)\| dt < \infty,$$

then $x(t)$ converges weakly to some element of $\arg \min \Phi$ and $W(t) = o(\frac{1}{t^{2\alpha}})$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. One of the main purpose of this paper is to prove that the sole assumption (1.3) guarantees the boundedness (and therefore the weak convergence) of the solution $x(t)$. We notice that, in a very recent work [2], Attouch, Chbani, Peypouquet and Redont have considered the equation (1.1) in the case $\alpha = 1$. They have proved that if $c > 3$ and $\int_0^{+\infty} (1+t) \|g(t)\| dt < \infty$ then $x(t)$ converges weakly to some element of $\arg \min \Phi$ and that $W(t) = O(\frac{1}{t^2})$. Moreover, they have established the strong convergence of $x(t)$ in the case where the function Φ is even or the interior of the subset $\arg \min \Phi$ is not empty. In this paper, we extend their results to the case $\alpha < 1$.

Our main first result is the weak convergence of the trajectories of (1.1) under the optimal condition (1.3) on the source term g .

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\int_0^{+\infty} (t+1)^\alpha \|g(t)\| dt < \infty$. Then $x(t)$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ to some $x^* \in \arg \min \Phi$. Moreover the energy function W satisfies the two following properties:*

$$(1.4) \quad W(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{2\alpha}}\right)$$

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (1+t)^\alpha W(t) dt < \infty.$$

Our second theorem improves the result on the convergence rate of the energy function W obtained in [8] in the case where $g = 0$ and it will be useful in the proof of the strong convergence of the solution $x(t)$ when the convex function Φ is even.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $\int_0^{+\infty} (1+t)^\nu \|g(t)\| dt < \infty$ where $\nu \in [\alpha, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}]$. Then*

$$(1.6) \quad W(t) = o\left(\frac{1}{t^{2\nu}}\right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (1+t)^{2\nu-\alpha} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 dt < \infty.$$

The next result shows that, as in the limit case $\alpha = 1$ (see [2, Theorem 3.1]), the strong convergence of $x(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ holds if the interior of $\arg \min \Phi$ is not empty

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $\int_0^{+\infty} (t+1)^\alpha \|g(t)\| dt < \infty$ and $\text{int}(\arg \min \Phi) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists some $x^* \in \arg \min \Phi$ such that $x(t) \rightarrow x^*$ strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

In the last theorem, we prove, under an assumption on the source term g slightly stronger than the optimal condition (1.3), the strong convergence of the solution $x(t)$ when the potential function Φ is even

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $\int_0^{+\infty} (t+1)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \|g(t)\| dt < \infty$ and Φ is even (i.e. $\Phi(-x) = \Phi(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$). Then $x(t)$ converges strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ to some $x^* \in \arg \min \Phi$.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof makes use of a modified version of the method used Attouch, Chbani, Peyrouquet and Redont in [2]. It relies on the study of a suitable Lyapunov function $\mathcal{E}$ and uses the following two classical lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (Gronwall-Bellman lemma). *Let $f \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ and c a nonnegative constant. Suppose that w is a continuous function from $[a, b]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ that satisfies: for all $t \in [a, b]$,*

$$\frac{1}{2}w^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}c^2 + \int_a^t f(s)w(s)ds.$$

Then, for all $t \in [a, b]$,

$$w(t) \leq c + \int_a^t f(s)ds.$$

The proof of this lemma is easy and similar to the proof of the classical Gronwall's lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (Opial's lemma [9]). *Let $x : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Assume that there exists a nonempty subset S of $\mathcal{H}$ such that:*

- i) *If $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x(t_n) \rightharpoonup x$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}$, then $x \in S$.*
- ii) *For every $z \in S$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|x(t) - z\|$ exists.*

The proof of Opial's lemma is easy, see for instance [3].

Let us now start the proof of our theorem. We first define on $[0, +\infty[$ the function

$$(2.1) \quad h(t) = e^{\Gamma(t)} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma(s)} ds,$$

where $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds$. A simple calculation yields that h satisfies the differential equation

$$(2.2) \quad h'(t) - \gamma(t)h(t) + 1 = 0.$$

Moreover, since

$$\left(\frac{-1}{\gamma(s)} e^{-\Gamma(s)} \right)' = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\gamma^2(s)} \right) e^{-\Gamma(s)} \underset{+\infty}{\sim} e^{-\Gamma(s)}$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad h(t) \underset{+\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\gamma(t)}.$$

Let $x^* \in \arg \min \Phi$ and define the function

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) &= 2(h(t))^2 (\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) + \|x(t) - x^* + h(t)\dot{x}(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t h(s) \langle g(s), x(s) - x^* + h(s)\dot{x}(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(t) &= 4h'(t)h(t)(\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) + 2(h(t))^2 \langle \nabla \Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle (1 + h'(t))\dot{x}(t) + h(t)\ddot{x}(t), x(t) - x^* + h(t)\dot{x}(t) \rangle \\ &\quad - 2h(t) \langle g(t), x(t) - x^* + h(t)\dot{x}(t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by sing (1.1), we get

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{E}'(t) = 4h'(t)h(t)(\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) - 2h(t) \langle \nabla \Phi(x(t)), x(t) - x^* \rangle.$$

Since the function Φ is convex, we have

$$\Phi^* = \Phi(x^*) \geq \Phi(x(t)) + \langle \nabla \Phi(x(t)), x^* - x(t) \rangle.$$

Inserting this inequality in (2.5) yields

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \leq 2[2h'(t) - 1]h(t)(\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*).$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), $2h'(t) - 1 \rightarrow -1$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists $t_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{E}'(t) + h(t)(\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{E}$ is a decreasing function on $[t_1, +\infty[$. Then for every $t \geq t_1$, $\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(t_1)$, which implies that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &2(h(t))^2 (\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) + \|x(t) - x^* + h(t)\dot{x}(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq C^2 + 2 \int_{t_1}^t h(s) \langle g(s), x(s) - x^* + h(s)\dot{x}(s) \rangle ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C^2 = 2(h(t_1))^2 (\Phi(x(t_1)) - \Phi^*) + \|x(t_1) - x^* + h(t_1)\dot{x}(t_1)\|^2.$$

Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x(t) - x^* + h(t) \dot{x}(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{C^2}{2} + \int_{t_1}^t h(s) \|g(s)\| \|x(s) - x^* + h(s) \dot{x}(s)\| ds.$$

Hence by applying the Gronwall-Bellman lemma we obtain

$$\|x(t) - x^* + h(t) \dot{x}(t)\| \leq C + \int_{t_1}^t h(s) \|g(s)\| ds,$$

which implies, thanks to (2.3), that

$$(2.8) \quad M_1 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t) - x^* + h(t) \dot{x}(t)\| < +\infty$$

Returning to (2.7), we then infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} (h(t))^2 (\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) &\leq C^2 + 2M_1 \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} h(s) \|g(s)\| ds \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce from the expression (2.4) of the function $\mathcal{E}$, that

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} |\mathcal{E}(t)| < +\infty$$

Hence by integrating the inequality (2.6) on $[t_1, t]$ with $t \geq t_1$, we infer that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} h(t) (\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*) dt < +\infty.$$

Taking the inner product of (1.1) with $\dot{x}(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ddot{x}(t) + \nabla \Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t) \rangle + \gamma(t) \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 &= \langle g(t), \dot{x}(t) \rangle \\ &\leq \|g(t)\| \|\dot{x}(t)\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|g(t)\| W(t). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $h^2(t)$ and using the fact that

$$\dot{W}(t) = \langle \ddot{x}(t) + \nabla \Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t) \rangle,$$

we get after integration by parts on $[0, t]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (h(t))^2 W(t) + \int_0^t \left(\gamma(s) (h(s))^2 - \dot{h}(s) (h(s)) \right) \|\dot{x}(s)\|^2 ds \\ \leq h(0)W(0) + \int_0^t 2\dot{h}(s) h(s) [\Phi(x(s)) - \Phi^*] ds + \sqrt{2} \int_0^t (h(s))^2 \|g(s)\| \sqrt{W(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using now (2.2), the fact the function $\dot{h}$ is bounded, and (2.10), we obtain

$$(h(t))^2 W(t) + \int_0^t h(s) \|\dot{x}(s)\|^2 ds \leq C + \sqrt{2} \int_0^t (h(s))^2 \|g(s)\| \sqrt{W(s)} ds,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Hence by applying Gronwall-Bellman lemma with $\omega = h\sqrt{W}$ and using the fact that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} h(s) \|g(s)\| ds < +\infty,$$

we deduce that

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} h(t) \sqrt{W(t)} < +\infty$$

(which is equivalent to (1.4)) and therefore

$$(2.12) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} h(s) \|\dot{x}(s)\|^2 ds < +\infty.$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.12), we get (1.5). Let us now prove the weak convergence of $x(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. We first notice that since $W(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and Φ is weak lower semi-continuous (in fact Φ is continuous and convex), then the first item i) of Opial's lemma is satisfied with $S = \arg \min \Phi$. Hence, it remains to prove that for any x^* in $\arg \min \Phi$, the associated function $z(t) := \frac{1}{2} \|x(t) - x^*\|^2$ converges as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. A simple calculation using (1.1) gives

$$\ddot{z}(t) + \gamma(t) \dot{z}(t) = \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \langle \nabla \Phi(x(t)), x(t) - x^* \rangle + \langle g(t), x(t) - x^* \rangle.$$

Hence by using the monotonicity property of the operator $\nabla \Phi$, the fact that $\nabla \Phi(x^*) = 0$, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$(2.13) \quad \ddot{z}(t) + \gamma(t) \dot{z}(t) \leq \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \|x(t) - x^*\| \|g(t)\| = k(t).$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.11), we deduce that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t) - x^*\| < +\infty,$$

which implies, thanks to (1.3) and (2.12), that

$$(2.14) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} k(t) dt < +\infty.$$

Multiply now the inequality (2.13) by $e^{\Gamma(t)}$ and integrate over $[0, t]$, we get after simplification the following inequality

$$(2.15) \quad \dot{z}(t) \leq K(t) := e^{-\Gamma(t)} z(0) + e^{-\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t e^{\Gamma(s)} k(s) ds.$$

By Fubini theorem, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} K(t) dt = z(0) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma(t)} dt + \int_0^{+\infty} k(s)h(s)ds,$$

where h is the function defined by (2.1) at the beginning of the proof. Hence, by using (2.3) and (2.14) we deduce that the function K , and therefore the positive part $[\dot{z}]^+(t)$ of $\dot{z}(t)$ belongs to the space $L^1(0, +\infty)$. Then the limit of $z(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ exists. This proves the item ii) of the Opial's lemma and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

By differentiating the energy function W and using the equation (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}(t) &= \langle \ddot{x}(t) + \nabla \Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t) \rangle \\ &= -\gamma(t) \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \langle g(t), \dot{x}(t) \rangle \\ (3.1) \quad &\leq -\gamma(t) \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \|g(t)\| \sqrt{2W(t)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \leq \|g(t)\| \sqrt{2W(t)}$$

Hence the function $\rho(t) := (1+t)^{2\nu}W(t)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \dot{\rho}(t) \leq 2\nu(1+t)^{2\nu-1}W(t) + \sqrt{2}(1+t)^\nu \|g(t)\| \sqrt{\rho(t)}.$$

Now since $2\nu - 1 \leq \alpha$, we have from (1.5),

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (1+t)^{2\nu-1}W(t)dt < \infty.$$

Thus by integrating the differential inequality (3.3) and applying Gronwall-Bellman lemma we deduce that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \rho(t) < \infty$. Therefore (3.3) and (3.4) imply that the positive part $[\dot{\rho}(t)]^+$ of $\dot{\rho}(t)$ belongs to $L^1(0, +\infty)$. Thus $\rho(t)$ converges as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ to some real number λ which in view of (3.4) must be equal to 0. This proves (1.6). Now multiply (3.1) by $(1+t)^{2\nu}$ and then integrate on $[0, t]$ with $t > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1+s)^{2\nu} \gamma(s) \|\dot{x}(s)\|^2 ds &\leq \sup_{s \geq 0} \sqrt{2(1+s)^{2\nu}W(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^\nu \|g(s)\| ds \\ &\quad + W(0) - (1+t)^{2\nu}W(t) + 2\nu \int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^{2\nu-1}W(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (1.7) thanks to (3.4).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We follow the same method used in the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1]. The assumption $\text{int}(\arg \min \Phi) \neq \emptyset$ implies the existence of $z_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $r > 0$ such that for any $v \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$ we have $\nabla(z_0 + rv) = 0$ which implies by the monotocity property of $\nabla\Phi$ that for any $z \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\langle \nabla\Phi(z), z - z_0 - rv \rangle \geq 0$. Thus by taking the supremum on v , we get

$$\langle \nabla\Phi(z), z - z_0 \rangle \geq r \|\nabla\Phi\|.$$

Hence (2.5) with $x^* = z_0$ gives

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) + 2h(t)r \|\nabla\Phi(x(t))\| \leq 4\dot{h}(t)h(t)(\Phi(x(t)) - \Phi^*).$$

Integrating this inequality on $[0, t]$ and using (2.3), (2.9), (2.10), and the boundedness of $\dot{h}$, we deduce that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} \|\nabla\Phi(x(t))\| dt < +\infty.$$

Setting $\omega(t) = g(t) - \nabla\Phi(x(t))$, the equation (1.1) becomes

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \gamma(t)\dot{x}(t) = \omega(t).$$

Hence thanks to (4.1), the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\omega : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a measurable function that satisfies*

$$\int_a^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} \|\omega(t)\| dt < +\infty.$$

If $y \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$(4.2) \quad \ddot{y}(t) + \gamma(t)\dot{y}(t) = \omega(t),$$

then $y(t)$ converge strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Multiply (4.2) by $e^{\Gamma(t)}$ and integrate on $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\dot{y}(t) = e^{-\Gamma(t)}y(0) + e^{-\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t e^{\Gamma(s)}\omega(s)ds.$$

Hence by using Fubini theorem and (2.1) as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \|\dot{y}(t)\| dt &\leq \|\dot{y}(0)\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma(t)} dt + \int_0^{+\infty} h(t) \|\omega(t)\| dt \\ &< +\infty \text{ (thanks to (2.3)).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Let $T > 0$. We define on $[0, T]$ the function:

$$y(t) = \|x(t)\|^2 - \|x(T)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x(t) - x(T)\|^2.$$

By a classical calculus using (1.1) and the fact that Φ is convex and even, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{y}(t) + \gamma(t) \dot{y}(t) &= \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \langle \nabla \Phi(x(t)), -x(T) - x(t) \rangle + \langle g(t), x(t) + x(T) \rangle \\ &\leq \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \Phi(-x(T)) - \Phi(x(t)) + M \|g(t)\| \\ &= \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \Phi(x(T)) - \Phi(x(t)) + M \|g(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + W(T) - W(t) + M \|g(t)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = 2 \sup_{s \geq 0} \|x(s)\|$ (Recall that from Theorem 1.1 we have $x \in L^\infty(0, +\infty, \mathcal{H})$).

Using now the inequality (3.2), we get

$$\ddot{y}(t) + \gamma(t) \dot{y}(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + M \|g(t)\| + \sqrt{2} \int_t^T \|g(s)\| \sqrt{W(s)} ds.$$

Applying Theorem 1.2 with $\nu = \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$, we deduce the existence of an absolute constant C such that

$$\ddot{y}(t) + \gamma(t) \dot{y}(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + M \|g(t)\| + C \int_t^{+\infty} (1+s)^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \|g(s)\| ds := \omega(t).$$

Therefore we have

$$\dot{y}(t) \leq e^{-\Gamma(t)} y(0) + e^{-\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t e^{\Gamma(s)} \omega(s) ds.$$

Integrating this inequality and using the fact that $y(T) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -y(t) &= \int_t^T \dot{y}(s) ds \\ &\leq |y(0)| \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma(s)} ds + \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma(s)} \int_0^s e^{\Gamma(\tau)} \omega(\tau) d\tau ds \\ &:= \varpi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every t in $[0, T]$,

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|x(t) - x(T)\|^2 \leq \|x(t)\|^2 - \|x(T)\|^2 + \varpi(t).$$

Since Φ is even and convex, we have $0 \in \arg \min(\Phi)$. Hence by using the convergence of the function $z(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|x(t) - x^*\|^2$ proved in Theorem 1.1 with $x^* = 0$, we infer that the

limit of $\|x(t)\|^2$ as t goes to $+\infty$ exists which implies that

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{t, T \rightarrow +\infty} \|x(t)\|^2 - \|x(T)\|^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, in view of Fubini theorem and (2.3), there exists an absolute constant $C' \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma(s)} \int_0^s e^{\Gamma(\tau)} \omega(\tau) d\tau ds &= \int_0^{+\infty} h(\tau) \omega(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq C' \int_0^{+\infty} (1+\tau)^\alpha \omega(\tau) d\tau \\ &= C' \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{3}{2} \|\dot{x}(\tau)\|^2 + M \|g(\tau)\| \right) (1+\tau)^\alpha d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{CC'}{1+\alpha} \int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \|g(s)\| ds \\ &< +\infty \text{ (in view of (1.5)).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi(t) = 0.$$

Combining (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), we conclude that $x(t)$ satisfies the Cauchy convergence criterion in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and hence converges strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alvarez, On the minimizing properties of a second order dissipative system in Hilbert spaces. SIAM J. Cont. Optim. 38 (4)(2000) 1102-1119.
- [2] Attouch H, Chbani Z, Peypouquet J, Redont P. Fast convergence of inertial dynamics and algorithms with asymptotic vanishing viscosity. Math Program Ser B 2016: 1-53.
- [3] H. Attouch, X. Goudou, and P. Redont, The heavy ball with friction method, I. The continuous dynamical system. Commun. Contemp. Math. 02, 1 (2000). DOI: 10.1142/S0219199700000025
- [4] M. Balti and R. May, Asymptotic for a semilinear hyperbolic equation with asymptotically vanishing damping term, convex potential, and integrable source. Submitted.
- [5] A. Cabot and P. Frankel, Asymptotics for some semilinear hyperbolic equations with non-autonomous damping. J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 294-322.
- [6] A. Haraux, M.A. Jendoubi, On a second order dissipative ODE in Hilbert space with an integrable source term. Acta Mathematica Scientia 32B(1)(2012) 155-163.
- [7] M.A Jendoubi and R. May, Asymptotics for a second-order differential equation with non-autonomous damping and an integrable source term. Applicable Analysis 94(2)(2015) 435-443.

- [8] R. May, Long time behavior for a semilinear hyperbolic equation with asymptotically vanishing damping term and convex potential. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 430 (2015) 410-416.
- [9] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximation for nonexpansive mapping. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967) 591-597.